



Public Comment Received

From: noreply@civicplus.com
To: [Don Vymazal](#); [info](#)
Subject: Online Form Submittal: Redistricting Public Comment Form
Date: Thursday, October 6, 2022 9:30:09 PM

Redistricting Public Comment Form

In order to address the issue of representative equity, Council directed staff to approach Delaware County officials for support developing township district maps that more evenly distribute population. Guidance provided by council identified four key values for consideration during the development of possible district changes:

- Transparency
- Timeliness
- District Contiguity
- Population Standardization (variance between 0% - 5% of mean)

Listed below are 5 maps. Please view each map and provide public comment.

You may also view interactive maps [HERE: https://arcg.is/18mPCi](https://arcg.is/18mPCi)
Public comment may also be submitted via email to: info@upperprovidence.org

Comments: *Field not completed.*

Comments: *Field not completed.*

Comments: Separating districts 3 and 4 at Providence Road south of the bypass seems like a negative to me. Neighborhoods on both sides are integrated here; less so north of the bypass where that border is more sensible. This is the only one of the five proposals I object to.

Comments: This version seems to provide the best neighborhood cohesion.

Comments: *Field not completed.*

Email not displaying correctly? [View it in your browser.](#)

From: noreply@civicplus.com
To: [Don Vymazal](#); [info](#)
Subject: Online Form Submittal: Redistricting Public Comment Form
Date: Thursday, October 6, 2022 6:10:28 PM

Redistricting Public Comment Form

In order to address the issue of representative equity, Council directed staff to approach Delaware County officials for support developing township district maps that more evenly distribute population. Guidance provided by council identified four key values for consideration during the development of possible district changes:

- Transparency
 - Timeliness
 - District Contiguity
 - Population Standardization (variance between 0% - 5% of mean)
-

Listed below are 5 maps. Please view each map and provide public comment.

You may also view interactive maps [HERE: https://arcg.is/18mPCi](https://arcg.is/18mPCi)
Public comment may also be submitted via email to: info@upperprovidence.org

Comments:	More compact and natural looking district lines than 3, 4 or 5.
Comments:	More compact and natural looking than those below.
Comments:	Same comment/objection as to Map 4 below. This map takes a large, not-quite contiguous chunk out of the center of the Sandy Bank/Valley View neighborhood to shift it over to District 4. The lines between the two feel forced and arbitrary as to that neighborhood.
Comments:	Seems awkward and inappropriate to split the Sandy Bank/Valley View/Dash Ave neighborhood in this way. Current District 2.
Comments:	Same comment as Map 4.

Email not displaying correctly? [View it in your browser.](#)

From: noreply@civicplus.com
To: [Don Vymazal](#); [info](#)
Subject: Online Form Submittal: Redistricting Public Comment Form
Date: Saturday, October 8, 2022 5:43:10 PM

Redistricting Public Comment Form

In order to address the issue of representative equity, Council directed staff to approach Delaware County officials for support developing township district maps that more evenly distribute population. Guidance provided by council identified four key values for consideration during the development of possible district changes:

- Transparency
 - Timeliness
 - District Contiguity
 - Population Standardization (variance between 0% - 5% of mean)
-

Listed below are 5 maps. Please view each map and provide public comment.

You may also view interactive maps [HERE: https://arcg.is/18mPCi](https://arcg.is/18mPCi)
Public comment may also be submitted via email to: info@upperprovidence.org

Comments: There is nothing to compare this to. How does this compare to what we have now? Ridiculous to ask for feedback this way. What are you hiding?

Comments: There is nothing to compare this to. How does this compare to what we have now? Ridiculous to ask for feedback this way. What are you hiding?

Comments: There is nothing to compare this to. How does this compare to what we have now? Ridiculous to ask for feedback this way. What are you hiding?

Comments: There is nothing to compare this to. How does this compare to what we have now? Ridiculous to ask for feedback this way. What are you hiding?

Comments: There is nothing to compare this to. How does this compare to what we have now? Ridiculous to ask for feedback this way. What are you hiding?

First Name Concerned

Last Name	Citizen
Email Address	againstgerrymandering@gottabekidding.net
Phone Number	<i>Field not completed.</i>

Email not displaying correctly? [View it in your browser.](#)

From: noreply@civicplus.com
To: [Don Vymazal](#); [info](#)
Subject: Online Form Submittal: Redistricting Public Comment Form
Date: Saturday, October 8, 2022 5:47:23 PM

Redistricting Public Comment Form

In order to address the issue of representative equity, Council directed staff to approach Delaware County officials for support developing township district maps that more evenly distribute population. Guidance provided by council identified four key values for consideration during the development of possible district changes:

- Transparency
 - Timeliness
 - District Contiguity
 - Population Standardization (variance between 0% - 5% of mean)
-

Listed below are 5 maps. Please view each map and provide public comment.

You may also view interactive maps [HERE: https://arcg.is/18mPCi](https://arcg.is/18mPCi)
Public comment may also be submitted via email to: info@upperprovidence.org

Comments:	This is a partisan gerrymander that moves 30% of residents.
Comments:	This is the original Council plan, which moves an insane 31% of residents and is a blatant partisan gerrymander.
Comments:	This is the fairest map by a WIDE margin. It moves only 4% of residents, is not a blatant gerrymander and actually achieves the purpose. Shocked that the other 4 would even be put up against this proposal. Frankly, even this map is not necessary. It should be block 2008, not block 2007. You're breaking up the 1st. 2008 has a long continuous border with the 4th. The only reason to not use that here would be, you guessed it, gerrymandering / illegal ulterior motives.
Comments:	This is a blatant partisan gerrymander that moves 26% of residents.
Comments:	This is a blatant partisan gerrymander that moves 22% of residents.
First Name	<i>Field not completed.</i>

Last Name *Field not completed.*

Email Address *Field not completed.*

Phone Number *Field not completed.*

Email not displaying correctly? [View it in your browser.](#)

From: [Joseph Solomon](#)
To: [Don Vymazal](#)
Cc: [info](#)
Subject: Re: UPT Redistricting Proposal
Date: Sunday, October 9, 2022 5:37:15 PM

Hi Don,

Thanks for getting back to me and sending the link to the new proposals. I reviewed the proposals and, frankly, none of them appear to resemble what I sent. My honest feedback is that they're all very disruptive still.

I just don't see why we need to move 25-30% of voters to rebalance a 5% variance. It's just algebra: you can move 2-3% of voters from underrepresented districts to the overrepresented 4th and achieve balance. I CC'd the "Info@upperprovidence" address because I suppose I would like my proposal to be formally included as public feedback. I just do not agree with the proposals. Frankly, Proposal 3 is so much better than Proposals 1,2,4 and 5 that I don't understand what happened there, and even then Proposal 3 moves more voters than needed.

To reiterate, you can literally move Block 2008 (4079.02) from Precinct 1 to 4, and Blocks 1010 and 1011 (4079.03) from Precinct 2 to 4, and get all precincts to ~20% of voters and you'd only have to move 2% of voters to do this. My proposal here achieves the exact same final balance of voters of 2/5 of the actual proposals by Council, so clearly it's acceptable. So if I can achieve the same balance of voters (every District within 1% of the target) and move 10-15x less voters, why would that proposal not be the obvious choice?

Thanks again,
Joe

On Tue, Oct 4, 2022 at 5:52 PM Don Vymazal <DVymazal@upperprovidence.org> wrote:

Hi Joe,

Thank you for your email and I apologize about the delay in responding. Over the past several weeks, the township has been working with Delaware County to develop a series of 5 map options for public review and feedback. There will be a public comment period from October 5th – October 26^h and would greatly appreciate your thoughts on the maps as presented. You can view the maps and submit public comment through the township website [here](#).

In working with the county, we made a conscious effort to incorporate public comments related to this process and I hope you find this input represented in one or more of the maps. Incidentally, I believe one of the options does promote your thoughts and takes into account much of what you suggested.

When you have a chance to review the maps, please let me know if you should have any questions or concerns. I look forward to hearing your thoughts.

Don Vymazal

Township Manager

Upper Providence Township, Del. Co.

935 N. Providence Road

Media, PA 19063

610-565-4944 ext. 102

DVymazal@UpperProvidence.org

From: Joseph Solomon <joseph.solomon783@gmail.com>

Sent: Friday, September 30, 2022 8:57 AM

To: Don Vymazal <DVymazal@UpperProvidence.org>

Subject: UPT Redistricting Proposal

Hi Don,

Hope you're doing well. I've been aware of the ongoing redistricting efforts in UPT. Since I'm a bit of an Excel nerd, I downloaded the data to see what I could do with it (been a busy month or two of actual work, though, so apologies for my delay).

I found the proposal from the Township that was posted on the website to be quite disruptive. It moved almost 1/3 of voters to a new precinct when you really only need to move ~2% of voters. I wanted to submit a proposal myself that describes the movement of only 3 census blocks, which moves only 2% of voters, but that gets every single precinct within 1% of the 20% target (well within the MOE of the Census - in fact, I found that the Census itself has a 3% error with actual voter records in UPT, so trying to get within 1% is a fool's errand when a 3% error is baked in)

By moving Block 2008 (4079.02) from Precinct 1 to 4, and Blocks 1010 and 1011 (4079.03)

from Precinct 2 to 4, I get all precincts to ~20% of voters and I only have to move 2% of voters to do this. I did realize when doing this analysis that Councilwoman Peterson was in Block 2008, so alternatively you can use Block 2007 (4079.02) and achieve the same result (not that the location of a sitting Councilperson should matter or legally be considered).

I think this extremely simple plan should be considered in a public forum, because I think the public should see how simple the solution could be when comparing against any other complex plans.

Thanks! And happy to discuss this further, maybe even before our next Planning Meeting in October. Meant to talk to you before Monday's meeting, but as you know I was late to arrive.

Joe Solomon

From: noreply@civicplus.com
To: [Don Vymazal](#); [info](#)
Subject: Online Form Submittal: Redistricting Public Comment Form
Date: Thursday, October 13, 2022 9:52:10 AM

Redistricting Public Comment Form

In order to address the issue of representative equity, Council directed staff to approach Delaware County officials for support developing township district maps that more evenly distribute population. Guidance provided by council identified four key values for consideration during the development of possible district changes:

- Transparency
- Timeliness
- District Contiguity
- Population Standardization (variance between 0% - 5% of mean)

Listed below are 5 maps. Please view each map and provide public comment.

You may also view interactive maps [HERE: https://arcg.is/18mPCi](https://arcg.is/18mPCi)
Public comment may also be submitted via email to: info@upperprovidence.org

Comments: *Field not completed.*

Comments: *Field not completed.*

Comments: I vote for this redistricting: Map 3.

Comments: *Field not completed.*

Comments: *Field not completed.*

First Name EILEEN

Last Name COOK

Email Address ealcook@yahoo.com

Phone Number 6108911777

Email not displaying correctly? [View it in your browser.](#)

From: noreply@civicplus.com
To: [Don Vymazal](#); [info](#)
Subject: Online Form Submittal: Redistricting Public Comment Form
Date: Sunday, October 9, 2022 2:29:23 PM

Redistricting Public Comment Form

In order to address the issue of representative equity, Council directed staff to approach Delaware County officials for support developing township district maps that more evenly distribute population. Guidance provided by council identified four key values for consideration during the development of possible district changes:

- Transparency
 - Timeliness
 - District Contiguity
 - Population Standardization (variance between 0% - 5% of mean)
-

Listed below are 5 maps. Please view each map and provide public comment.

You may also view interactive maps [HERE: https://arcg.is/18mPCi](https://arcg.is/18mPCi)
Public comment may also be submitted via email to: info@upperprovidence.org

Comments: *Field not completed.*

Comments: *Field not completed.*

Comments: *Field not completed.*

Comments: *Field not completed.*

Comments: Hello,
I take significant issue with the council's redistributing efforts and lack of transparency in the process. It's unethical to have sitting member(s) up for re-election contributing to the process. Furthermore, if redistributing is warranted, it should be done in a bipartisan manner where all upper providence voices are heard and represented.

Your position on the council is to represent residents in the township. Do your job.

First Name William

Last Name	Brown
Email Address	arena13@yahoo.com
Phone Number	6464652596

Email not displaying correctly? [View it in your browser.](#)

From: noreply@civicplus.com
To: [Don Vymazal](#); [info](#)
Subject: Online Form Submittal: Redistricting Public Comment Form
Date: Monday, October 10, 2022 1:17:03 PM

Redistricting Public Comment Form

In order to address the issue of representative equity, Council directed staff to approach Delaware County officials for support developing township district maps that more evenly distribute population. Guidance provided by council identified four key values for consideration during the development of possible district changes:

- Transparency
 - Timeliness
 - District Contiguity
 - Population Standardization (variance between 0% - 5% of mean)
-

Listed below are 5 maps. Please view each map and provide public comment.

You may also view interactive maps [HERE: https://arcg.is/18mPCi](https://arcg.is/18mPCi)

Public comment may also be submitted via email to: info@upperprovidence.org

Comments: I like #1 or #2 maps. For years my street was actually divided in half with the even side one district and the odd side another district.

Comments: *Field not completed.*

Comments: *Field not completed.*

Comments: *Field not completed.*

Comments: *Field not completed.*

First Name Charles

Last Name Jordan

Email Address CJordan7373@gmail.com

Phone Number *Field not completed.*

From: [Paul J DiCecco](#)
To: [info](#)
Subject: Redistricting Public Comment
Date: Monday, October 10, 2022 2:05:01 PM

Sent from my iPad

From: [Shirley](#)
To: [info](#)
Subject: Re: Upper Providence Township Redistricting - Public Comment
Date: Monday, October 10, 2022 1:17:56 PM

Thank you for sending these maps. Please have the cartographer make the street names more visible. They almost disappear when you zoom in.

Thank you.

Shirley Gay

On Mon, Oct 10, 2022 at 12:59 PM Upper Providence Township, Delaware County
<info@upperprovidence.org> wrote:

[View this email in your browser](#)



Upper Providence Township Redistricting Information and Public Comment

Following the results of the 2020 Federal census, Upper Providence Township Council recognized the need for reapportionment of municipal districts to ensure equal and fair access to elected representation. The Township underwent a similar process in the past, modifying district lines upon the results of the 1990 census.

In order to address the issue of representative equity, Council directed staff to approach Delaware County officials for support developing township district maps that more evenly distribute population. The proposed redistricting maps are open to public comment from October 5th through October 26th. Public Comment may be submitted three ways:

- Email us at info@upperprovidence.org
- Via the online public comment form on the website [HERE](#)
- Join us for an Open House on Monday, October 17th, from 6:00 PM to 8:00 PM at the Rose Tree Fire Company Hall
 - 1275 N. Providence Rd, Media, Pa 19063



Copyright © 2022 Upper Providence Township, All rights reserved.

You are receiving this email because you expressed an interest in hearing about news from Upper Providence Township.

Our mailing address is:
Upper Providence Township
935 N Providence Road Media, PA 19063
Media, Pa 19063

[Add us to your address book](#)

Want to change how you receive these emails?
You can [update your preferences](#) or [unsubscribe from this list](#).

Email Marketing Powered by Mailchimp



From: noreply@civicplus.com
To: [Don Vymazal](#); [info](#)
Subject: Online Form Submittal: Redistricting Public Comment Form
Date: Tuesday, October 11, 2022 6:55:43 PM

Redistricting Public Comment Form

In order to address the issue of representative equity, Council directed staff to approach Delaware County officials for support developing township district maps that more evenly distribute population. Guidance provided by council identified four key values for consideration during the development of possible district changes:

- Transparency
 - Timeliness
 - District Contiguity
 - Population Standardization (variance between 0% - 5% of mean)
-

Listed below are 5 maps. Please view each map and provide public comment.

You may also view interactive maps [HERE: https://arcg.is/18mPCi](https://arcg.is/18mPCi)

Public comment may also be submitted via email to: info@upperprovidence.org

Comments: *Field not completed.*

Comments: I support Map #2 as the districting lines include less carveouts and deviations.

Comments: *Field not completed.*

Comments: *Field not completed.*

Comments: *Field not completed.*

First Name Andrew

Last Name Serota

Email Address Andrew.Serota@gmail.com

Phone Number *Field not completed.*

From: noreply@civicplus.com
To: [Don Vymazal](#); [info](#)
Subject: Online Form Submittal: Redistricting Public Comment Form
Date: Wednesday, October 12, 2022 8:47:37 PM

Redistricting Public Comment Form

In order to address the issue of representative equity, Council directed staff to approach Delaware County officials for support developing township district maps that more evenly distribute population. Guidance provided by council identified four key values for consideration during the development of possible district changes:

- Transparency
- Timeliness
- District Contiguity
- Population Standardization (variance between 0% - 5% of mean)

Listed below are 5 maps. Please view each map and provide public comment.

You may also view interactive maps [HERE: https://arcg.is/18mPCi](https://arcg.is/18mPCi)

Public comment may also be submitted via email to: info@upperprovidence.org

Comments:	<i>Field not completed.</i>
Comments:	This seems to follow most closely the population equality principle. For this reason It seems to be the strongest proposal.
Comments:	This proposal is also fairly equal population-wise. Seems visually less contiguous so not as strong a proposal as Map 2.
Comments:	Less contiguous, less population equality
Comments:	Less contiguous, greater population deviation
First Name	Clarisse
Last Name	Reiter
Email Address	clarisse.reiter@gmail.com
Phone Number	<i>Field not completed.</i>

From: noreply@civicplus.com
To: [Don Vymazal](#); [info](#)
Subject: Online Form Submittal: Redistricting Public Comment Form
Date: Wednesday, October 12, 2022 9:08:48 PM

Redistricting Public Comment Form

In order to address the issue of representative equity, Council directed staff to approach Delaware County officials for support developing township district maps that more evenly distribute population. Guidance provided by council identified four key values for consideration during the development of possible district changes:

- Transparency
 - Timeliness
 - District Contiguity
 - Population Standardization (variance between 0% - 5% of mean)
-

Listed below are 5 maps. Please view each map and provide public comment.

You may also view interactive maps [HERE: https://arcg.is/18mPCi](https://arcg.is/18mPCi)

Public comment may also be submitted via email to: info@upperprovidence.org

Comments: *Field not completed.*

Comments: *Field not completed.*

Comments: I would like this map to be used.

Comments: *Field not completed.*

Comments: *Field not completed.*

First Name Susan

Last Name Rumford

Email Address sbrinerum@aol.com

Phone Number 6104164175

Email not displaying correctly? [View it in your browser.](#)

From: noreply@civicplus.com
To: [Don Vymazal](#); [info](#)
Subject: Online Form Submittal: Redistricting Public Comment Form
Date: Thursday, October 13, 2022 9:51:00 AM

Redistricting Public Comment Form

In order to address the issue of representative equity, Council directed staff to approach Delaware County officials for support developing township district maps that more evenly distribute population. Guidance provided by council identified four key values for consideration during the development of possible district changes:

- Transparency
 - Timeliness
 - District Contiguity
 - Population Standardization (variance between 0% - 5% of mean)
-

Listed below are 5 maps. Please view each map and provide public comment.

You may also view interactive maps [HERE: https://arcg.is/18mPCi](https://arcg.is/18mPCi)

Public comment may also be submitted via email to: info@upperprovidence.org

Comments: *Field not completed.*

Comments: *Field not completed.*

Comments: I vote for this redistricting Map 3.

Comments: *Field not completed.*

Comments: *Field not completed.*

First Name JOHN

Last Name COOK

Email Address jgcookba@yahoo.com

Phone Number 2672501062

Email not displaying correctly? [View it in your browser.](#)

From: noreply@civicplus.com
To: [Don Vymazal](#); [info](#)
Subject: Online Form Submittal: Redistricting Public Comment Form
Date: Friday, October 14, 2022 8:30:37 AM

Redistricting Public Comment Form

In order to address the issue of representative equity, Council directed staff to approach Delaware County officials for support developing township district maps that more evenly distribute population. Guidance provided by council identified four key values for consideration during the development of possible district changes:

- Transparency
- Timeliness
- District Contiguity
- Population Standardization (variance between 0% - 5% of mean)

Listed below are 5 maps. Please view each map and provide public comment.

You may also view interactive maps [HERE: https://arcg.is/18mPCi](https://arcg.is/18mPCi)
Public comment may also be submitted via email to: info@upperprovidence.org

Comments: *Field not completed.*

Comments: *Field not completed.*

Comments: *Field not completed.*

Comments: *Field not completed.*

Comments: Elgin test to confirm this information is received.

First Name Elgin

Last Name Akarsoy

Email Address elgin.akarsoy@upperprovidence.org

Phone Number *Field not completed.*

Email not displaying correctly? [View it in your browser.](#)

From: noreply@civicplus.com
To: [Don Vymazal](#); [info](#)
Subject: Online Form Submittal: Redistricting Public Comment Form
Date: Friday, October 14, 2022 8:39:40 AM

Redistricting Public Comment Form

In order to address the issue of representative equity, Council directed staff to approach Delaware County officials for support developing township district maps that more evenly distribute population. Guidance provided by council identified four key values for consideration during the development of possible district changes:

- Transparency
 - Timeliness
 - District Contiguity
 - Population Standardization (variance between 0% - 5% of mean)
-

Listed below are 5 maps. Please view each map and provide public comment.

You may also view interactive maps [HERE: https://arcg.is/18mPCi](https://arcg.is/18mPCi)

Public comment may also be submitted via email to: info@upperprovidence.org

Comments: *Field not completed.*

Comments: *Field not completed.*

Comments: *Field not completed.*

Comments: Test ellgin account

Comments: *Field not completed.*

First Name Elgin

Last Name Akarsoy

Email Address eakarsoy@upperprovidence.org

Phone Number *Field not completed.*

Email not displaying correctly? [View it in your browser.](#)

From: noreply@civicplus.com
To: [Don Vymazal](#); [info](#)
Subject: Online Form Submittal: Redistricting Public Comment Form
Date: Sunday, October 16, 2022 3:59:16 PM

Redistricting Public Comment Form

In order to address the issue of representative equity, Council directed staff to approach Delaware County officials for support developing township district maps that more evenly distribute population. Guidance provided by council identified four key values for consideration during the development of possible district changes:

- Transparency
 - Timeliness
 - District Contiguity
 - Population Standardization (variance between 0% - 5% of mean)
-

Listed below are 5 maps. Please view each map and provide public comment.

You may also view interactive maps [HERE: https://arcg.is/18mPCi](https://arcg.is/18mPCi)
Public comment may also be submitted via email to: info@upperprovidence.org

Comments: *Field not completed.*

Comments: *Field not completed.*

Comments: This map seems to be most equitable for redistricting the townships. It seems to stay within the 0 to 5% of disruption of people. My vote is for this map.
It would have been more transparent had we all gotten this information sent to us.
I do not think anyone up for reelection should have anything to do with this or we should wait until after the election to make amends.
Please be more transparent and not rush thru this.
Rena Barnett
District 1

Comments: *Field not completed.*

Comments: *Field not completed.*

First Name	Rena
Last Name	Barnett
Email Address	rbarnett8@verizon.net
Phone Number	6105667394

Email not displaying correctly? [View it in your browser.](#)

From: noreply@civicplus.com
To: [Don Vymazal](#); [info](#)
Subject: Online Form Submittal: Redistricting Public Comment Form
Date: Sunday, October 16, 2022 8:42:24 PM

Redistricting Public Comment Form

In order to address the issue of representative equity, Council directed staff to approach Delaware County officials for support developing township district maps that more evenly distribute population. Guidance provided by council identified four key values for consideration during the development of possible district changes:

- Transparency
 - Timeliness
 - District Contiguity
 - Population Standardization (variance between 0% - 5% of mean)
-

Listed below are 5 maps. Please view each map and provide public comment.

You may also view interactive maps [HERE: https://arcg.is/18mPCi](https://arcg.is/18mPCi)

Public comment may also be submitted via email to: info@upperprovidence.org

Comments:	This map disrupts 30% of voters. We only need to move 2-3% of voters to rebalance. Not necessary at all. Also splits a neighborhood on Providence Road in half, and does the same with Eastwood and Kirk.
Comments:	This map is the original proposal that moves 31% of voters. It splits multiple neighborhoods on Kirk Lane and Rose Tree Road. You only need to move 2-3% of voters.
Comments:	This map is the most reasonable and moves the least amount of voters. It still splits a neighborhood on Kirk lane. Block 2008 should be moved to the 4th district because it shares the longest border with the 4th currently. Sitting Councilperson locations can NOT be legally considered.
Comments:	This map moves 26% of voters when you only need to move 2-3% of voters. It splits a neighborhood on Kirk, Orange and RTR in half amongst multiple voting precincts, and also does the same on State.
Comments:	This map moves 22% of voters when you only need to move 2-

3% of voters. It splits a neighborhood on Kirk in half and Orange as well, just like the last map.

First Name	JF
Last Name	Solomon
Email Address	steelerjoe711@yahoo.com
Phone Number	<i>Field not completed.</i>

Email not displaying correctly? [View it in your browser.](#)

From: noreply@civicplus.com
To: [Don Vymazal](#); [info](#)
Subject: Online Form Submittal: Redistricting Public Comment Form
Date: Monday, October 17, 2022 9:59:08 AM

Redistricting Public Comment Form

In order to address the issue of representative equity, Council directed staff to approach Delaware County officials for support developing township district maps that more evenly distribute population. Guidance provided by council identified four key values for consideration during the development of possible district changes:

- Transparency
 - Timeliness
 - District Contiguity
 - Population Standardization (variance between 0% - 5% of mean)
-

Listed below are 5 maps. Please view each map and provide public comment.

You may also view interactive maps [HERE: https://arcg.is/18mPCi](https://arcg.is/18mPCi)
Public comment may also be submitted via email to: info@upperprovidence.org

Comments: *Field not completed.*

Comments: *Field not completed.*

Comments: *Field not completed.*

Comments: *Field not completed.*

Comments: *Field not completed.*

First Name Jane

Last Name Ferry

Email Address ferryhat@hotmail.com

Phone Number 16105653268

Email not displaying correctly? [View it in your browser.](#)

From: noreply@civicplus.com
To: [Don Vymazal](#); [info](#)
Subject: Online Form Submittal: Redistricting Public Comment Form
Date: Monday, October 17, 2022 11:08:58 AM

Redistricting Public Comment Form

In order to address the issue of representative equity, Council directed staff to approach Delaware County officials for support developing township district maps that more evenly distribute population. Guidance provided by council identified four key values for consideration during the development of possible district changes:

- Transparency
 - Timeliness
 - District Contiguity
 - Population Standardization (variance between 0% - 5% of mean)
-

Listed below are 5 maps. Please view each map and provide public comment.

You may also view interactive maps [HERE: https://arcg.is/18mPCi](https://arcg.is/18mPCi)

Public comment may also be submitted via email to: info@upperprovidence.org

Comments: *Field not completed.*

Comments: *Field not completed.*

Comments: This seems to conform most closely to the existing boundaries of the five districts, including the least amount of variance among the district populations. As a former JOE of District 4, I am particularly interested in my district, including the addresses of the existing poll workers, and everyone else living and working in this district. I would vote for this solution.

Comments: *Field not completed.*

Comments: *Field not completed.*

First Name Henry

Last Name Bishop

Email Address hankbish@gmail.com

Phone Number

2672359444

Email not displaying correctly? [View it in your browser.](#)

From: noreply@civicplus.com
To: [Don Vymazal](#); [info](#)
Subject: Online Form Submittal: Redistricting Public Comment Form
Date: Monday, October 17, 2022 11:34:26 AM

Redistricting Public Comment Form

In order to address the issue of representative equity, Council directed staff to approach Delaware County officials for support developing township district maps that more evenly distribute population. Guidance provided by council identified four key values for consideration during the development of possible district changes:

- Transparency
 - Timeliness
 - District Contiguity
 - Population Standardization (variance between 0% - 5% of mean)
-

Listed below are 5 maps. Please view each map and provide public comment.

You may also view interactive maps [HERE: https://arcg.is/18mPCi](https://arcg.is/18mPCi)

Public comment may also be submitted via email to: info@upperprovidence.org

Comments: Not very "compact"; no.

Comments: More "compact" than #1; OK

Comments: Districts 4 and 5 look little gerrymandered; no.

Comments: Districts 4 and 5 look a little gerrymandered; no.

Comments: Not very compact; no.

First Name David

Last Name Klassen

Email Address drklassen67@gmail.com

Phone Number 6107150395

Email not displaying correctly? [View it in your browser.](#)

From: [Donna Mancini](#)
To: [info](#)
Subject: Redistricting Public Comment
Date: Monday, October 17, 2022 9:52:00 AM

Will the meeting at the Firehouse be on Zoom ?

Sent from my iPad

Don Vymazal

From: Jane Ferry <ferryhat@hotmail.com>
Sent: Monday, October 17, 2022 10:03 AM
To: info
Subject: Redistricting Public Comment

Since most of the Township is built out, the maps I prefer are Option 2, 3 and 4 as this keeps the number of residents the most equal per district.

Jane Ferry
1071 N Ridley Creek Road

From: [Angela Morgera](#)
To: [info; AMD](#)
Subject: Redistricting Meeting 10/17/2022
Date: Monday, October 17, 2022 11:55:50 AM

Dear Council,

Thank you for this alternative method to have our voices heard as I may not be able to make the meeting tonight. As I'm sure you are well aware - there is already far too much distrust as far as elections go, including in our very own district. For this reason, I am against all the options presented and actually any and all redistricting in the foreseeable future. Until we get all our voter rolls cleaned up, I am in strong opposition and I pray this does not move forward. Please follow the links below as my point of reference and let us all know when we can expect corrections with these very serious concerns.

<https://www.auditthevotepa.com/delaware-county>

<https://www.judicialwatch.org/jw-sues-pa-voting/>

Please reply to confirm acknowledgement of my stance on this issue and assurance that the links were read.

Thank you,
Angela Morgera
4 spring Street
Media PA 19063

From: [Janet B](#)
To: [info](#)
Subject: redistricting options
Date: Monday, October 17, 2022 4:28:04 PM

Option 3 is the only plan that makes the most sense as it appears to create the least amount of disruption in the districts.

Regards
Janet and John Berry
53 Kirk Lane

From: noreply@civicplus.com
To: [Don Vymazal](#); [info](#)
Subject: Online Form Submittal: Redistricting Public Comment Form
Date: Monday, October 17, 2022 6:56:29 PM

Redistricting Public Comment Form

In order to address the issue of representative equity, Council directed staff to approach Delaware County officials for support developing township district maps that more evenly distribute population. Guidance provided by council identified four key values for consideration during the development of possible district changes:

- Transparency
 - Timeliness
 - District Contiguity
 - Population Standardization (variance between 0% - 5% of mean)
-

Listed below are 5 maps. Please view each map and provide public comment.

You may also view interactive maps [HERE: https://arcg.is/18mPCi](https://arcg.is/18mPCi)

Public comment may also be submitted via email to: info@upperprovidence.org

Comments: What am I looking at? This is a mess. I am thinking you are going to do what best works for these elected officials. Please provide more oversight on this and have more convenient hours for discussion!

Comments: *Field not completed.*

Comments: *Field not completed.*

Comments: *Field not completed.*

Comments: *Field not completed.*

First Name Deb

Last Name Roberts

Email Address Macdonald1985@yahoo.com

Phone Number 610-909-3925

From: noreply@civicplus.com
To: [Don Vymazal](#); [info](#)
Subject: Online Form Submittal: Redistricting Public Comment Form
Date: Tuesday, October 18, 2022 8:49:28 AM

Redistricting Public Comment Form

In order to address the issue of representative equity, Council directed staff to approach Delaware County officials for support developing township district maps that more evenly distribute population. Guidance provided by council identified four key values for consideration during the development of possible district changes:

- Transparency
 - Timeliness
 - District Contiguity
 - Population Standardization (variance between 0% - 5% of mean)
-

Listed below are 5 maps. Please view each map and provide public comment.

You may also view interactive maps [HERE: https://arcg.is/18mPCi](https://arcg.is/18mPCi)
Public comment may also be submitted via email to: info@upperprovidence.org

Comments:	This is way too much disruption that clearly favors the Council people up for re-election.
Comments:	This looks almost identical the Map 1. Therefore, this is way too much disruption that clearly favors the Council people up for re-election. What are the meaningless numbers on the table? Compactness? What is that. This is not even mentioned on the 'landing' page as a metric of concern. And why is this not provided with Map 1?
Comments:	This is the best of the lot. Again, the chart numbers are just noise and mean nothing.
Comments:	This looks almost identical the Map 1. Therefore, this is way too much disruption that clearly favors the Council people up for re-election. Moving part of the 5th to the east side of Rte 252 makes absolutely no sense.
Comments:	In all of the maps except for #3, the size of the 3rd (square miles) is so much larger than the 4th. The 3rd (which is arguably the largest) gets even larger and the 4th gets smaller in maps 1, 2, 4,

5. These residents will have an unfair advantage to access their rep. These look like they were drawn to favor the council members in the 1, 2, 4 districts and disadvantage the 5th and especially the 3rd. I liked the 3 maps I saw at the meeting that were not shared with the public - maps A,C, D

First Name	Township
Last Name	Resident
Email Address	townshipresident@upt.com
Phone Number	<i>Field not completed.</i>

Email not displaying correctly? [View it in your browser.](#)

From: noreply@civicplus.com
To: [Don Vymazal](#); [info](#)
Subject: Online Form Submittal: Redistricting Public Comment Form
Date: Wednesday, October 19, 2022 10:37:54 AM

Redistricting Public Comment Form

In order to address the issue of representative equity, Council directed staff to approach Delaware County officials for support developing township district maps that more evenly distribute population. Guidance provided by council identified four key values for consideration during the development of possible district changes:

- Transparency
- Timeliness
- District Contiguity
- Population Standardization (variance between 0% - 5% of mean)

Listed below are 5 maps. Please view each map and provide public comment.

You may also view interactive maps [HERE: https://arcg.is/18mPCi](https://arcg.is/18mPCi)
Public comment may also be submitted via email to: info@upperprovidence.org

Comments:	My second choice.
Comments:	No this doesn't work
Comments:	Nope! Again, I don't love this.
Comments:	No really great looks like Map 2
Comments:	This works. Keeps my counsel person in their district, seems fair and appropriate. MY First choice!!!
First Name	Marie
Last Name	Stevenson
Email Address	rerestevenson@yahoo.com
Phone Number	6109961996

From: noreply@civicplus.com
To: [Don Vymazal](#); [info](#)
Subject: Online Form Submittal: Redistricting Public Comment Form
Date: Thursday, October 20, 2022 1:27:18 PM

Redistricting Public Comment Form

In order to address the issue of representative equity, Council directed staff to approach Delaware County officials for support developing township district maps that more evenly distribute population. Guidance provided by council identified four key values for consideration during the development of possible district changes:

- Transparency
- Timeliness
- District Contiguity
- Population Standardization (variance between 0% - 5% of mean)

Listed below are 5 maps. Please view each map and provide public comment.

You may also view interactive maps [HERE: https://arcg.is/18mPCi](https://arcg.is/18mPCi)
Public comment may also be submitted via email to: info@upperprovidence.org

-
- Comments: Over-orchestrated and clearly gerry mandered. How on earth the 3rd can be called 'compact' when it is 5 times larger than the 4th is ridiculous!
-
- Comments: This is Kevin Else's original map. Why are you trying to get residents to believe this was created by the County? MISLEADING much? This is as bad as Plan 1. Why so much LAND added to the 3rd? and moving half of rose tree Road to the 4th? What happened to keeping like communities together? Splitting a street like that makes no sense at all. Unnecessary to move thousands of people.
-
- Comments: This is the least disruptive and therefore, best of the bunch. Keeps the 3rd District polling place in the 3rd District and more evenly disperses the square acreage of each district (my measure of compactness) than any other proposal.
-
- Comments: Why on earth would anyone move ANY part of the 5th to the east of Rte 252? Makes zero sense. This is far too disruptive.
-
- Comments: Again - why is the perimeter of the 3rd 5 times the perimeter of

the 4th? That is NOT compact. Do not like this plan at all.

First Name	Kathy
Last Name	Heupler
Email Address	kathyupt3@comcast.net
Phone Number	<i>Field not completed.</i>

Email not displaying correctly? [View it in your browser.](#)

From: noreply@civicplus.com
To: [Don Vymazal](#); [info](#)
Subject: Online Form Submittal: Redistricting Public Comment Form
Date: Friday, October 21, 2022 8:36:46 AM

Redistricting Public Comment Form

In order to address the issue of representative equity, Council directed staff to approach Delaware County officials for support developing township district maps that more evenly distribute population. Guidance provided by council identified four key values for consideration during the development of possible district changes:

- Transparency
 - Timeliness
 - District Contiguity
 - Population Standardization (variance between 0% - 5% of mean)
-

Listed below are 5 maps. Please view each map and provide public comment.

You may also view interactive maps [HERE: https://arcg.is/18mPCi](https://arcg.is/18mPCi)
Public comment may also be submitted via email to: info@upperprovidence.org

Comments:	I support this map.
Comments:	I do NOT support this map as it takes my representative, Marsha Peterson, out of her district.
Comments:	Too similar to what we have now. I do NOT support it because it does not maintain contiguity or neighborhood cohesion like maps 5 and 1.
Comments:	I do NOT support this map.
Comments:	I support Map 5 as being the MOST appropriate and fair, from my perspective. Map 5 is my top choice.
First Name	Jane
Last Name	Sleutaris
Email Address	rickjane99@hotmail.com

267-275-1819

Phone Number

Email not displaying correctly? [View it in your browser.](#)

From: noreply@civicplus.com
To: [Don Vymazal](#); [info](#)
Subject: Online Form Submittal: Redistricting Public Comment Form
Date: Sunday, October 23, 2022 11:36:27 AM

Redistricting Public Comment Form

In order to address the issue of representative equity, Council directed staff to approach Delaware County officials for support developing township district maps that more evenly distribute population. Guidance provided by council identified four key values for consideration during the development of possible district changes:

- Transparency
 - Timeliness
 - District Contiguity
 - Population Standardization (variance between 0% - 5% of mean)
-

Listed below are 5 maps. Please view each map and provide public comment.

You may also view interactive maps [HERE: https://arcg.is/18mPCi](https://arcg.is/18mPCi)
Public comment may also be submitted via email to: info@upperprovidence.org

Comments: This option groups Eastwood Road and the section of Kirk Lane between the Eastwood Road horseshoe in with the neighborhoods north west of route 1. It does not make sense to separate this part of the neighborhood off into another district, when the residents of this street view the street as part of the neighborhood to the south east - Preston and Roberts roads. These homes are of similar size and value and therefore it makes sense to keep the neighborhood together and in the same district.

Comments: This option groups Eastwood Road and the section of Kirk Lane between the Eastwood Road horseshoe in with the neighborhoods north west of route 1. It does not make sense to separate this part of the neighborhood off into another district, when the residents of this street view the street as part of the neighborhood to the south east - Preston and Roberts roads. These homes are of similar size and value and therefore it makes sense to keep the neighborhood together and in the same district.

Comments: This is the only option that makes sense, as it groups Eastwood Road in with the neighborhood it is a part of, as well as additional homes of similar size and value.

Comments: This option groups Eastwood Road and the section of Kirk Lane between the Eastwood Road horseshoe in with the neighborhoods north west of route 1. It does not make sense to separate this part of the neighborhood off into another district, when the residents of this street view the street as part of the neighborhood to the south east - Preston and Roberts roads. These homes are of similar size and value and therefore it makes sense to keep the neighborhood together and in the same district.

Comments: This option groups Eastwood Road and the section of Kirk Lane between the Eastwood Road horseshoe in with the neighborhoods north west of route 1. It does not make sense to separate this part of the neighborhood off into another district, when the residents of this street view the street as part of the neighborhood to the south east - Preston and Roberts roads. These homes are of similar size and value and therefore it makes sense to keep the neighborhood together and in the same district.

First Name	Nadine
Last Name	Basri
Email Address	nadinebasri@gmail.com
Phone Number	2097692251

Email not displaying correctly? [View it in your browser.](#)

From: noreply@civicplus.com
To: [Don Vymazal](#); [info](#)
Subject: Online Form Submittal: Redistricting Public Comment Form
Date: Monday, October 24, 2022 3:11:29 PM

Redistricting Public Comment Form

In order to address the issue of representative equity, Council directed staff to approach Delaware County officials for support developing township district maps that more evenly distribute population. Guidance provided by council identified four key values for consideration during the development of possible district changes:

- Transparency
 - Timeliness
 - District Contiguity
 - Population Standardization (variance between 0% - 5% of mean)
-

Listed below are 5 maps. Please view each map and provide public comment.

You may also view interactive maps [HERE: https://arcg.is/18mPCi](https://arcg.is/18mPCi)
Public comment may also be submitted via email to: info@upperprovidence.org

Comments: Splits UPT into 2 parts - above and below bypass, except for stranded area by Orange, Kirk, and Eastwood (a lot of which is cemetery I believe). I have mixed feelings about this as this may exacerbate existing political divisions.
Looks like it moves some of existing UP4 to UP2. Since UP4 is being shoved around, retaining the most you can of existing UP4 would be best. This makes Map 5 better than this. IMHO.. Both Map 1 & 5 or less equal population.
See discussion of poll locations with Map 5.

Comments: Strip of UP4 on other side of bypass is not good if you want a community sense.

Comments: This is the least disruptive. I would be moved from UP4 to UP5 in all of the other maps. But this map retains and increases the disconnected sense of UP4, though this has not really been a problem, having lived with this for years.
That said, the piece of UP3 below the bypass has always seemed odd, and the area where U 2, 3, and 4 arbitrarily weave in an out near Sandy Bank would still exist.

In all maps UP1 seems disconnected, which is probably not fixable.

Comments: Piece of UP5 sticking out from the rest is not good if you want to have a contiguous community.

Comments: Splits UPT into 2 parts - above and below bypass - except for stranded area by Orange, Kirk, and Eastwood.
Retains more of current UP4 near Sandy Bank. So better than Map 1.
Where will UP4 vote? RTE? Where will UP3 vote? Rose Tree Park? Still no place inside UP2 to vote.
Best choice of 1,2,4,and 5.
This (like Map 1) does have a lower population for UP5, part of the goal of evening things up is not acheived as well as Maps 2, 3, and 4.

First Name Janet

Last Name Lis

Email Address jmlis@aol.com

Phone Number 6107426777

Email not displaying correctly? [View it in your browser.](#)

From: noreply@civicplus.com
To: [Don Vymazal](#); [info](#)
Subject: Online Form Submittal: Redistricting Public Comment Form
Date: Monday, October 24, 2022 3:40:11 PM

Redistricting Public Comment Form

In order to address the issue of representative equity, Council directed staff to approach Delaware County officials for support developing township district maps that more evenly distribute population. Guidance provided by council identified four key values for consideration during the development of possible district changes:

- Transparency
 - Timeliness
 - District Contiguity
 - Population Standardization (variance between 0% - 5% of mean)
-

Listed below are 5 maps. Please view each map and provide public comment.

You may also view interactive maps [HERE: https://arcg.is/18mPCi](https://arcg.is/18mPCi)

Public comment may also be submitted via email to: info@upperprovidence.org

Comments: Under Map 1 the districts do not appear to be as compact or practical, given the spread-out composition of District 5 across the Rt. 1 bypass. Also, Map 1 appears to move much of what was UP4 to UP2.

Comments: Map 2 is not good due to the little strip above the bypass being across from most of UP4. Also appears to split UP5 across the Rt. 1 bypass.

Comments: Under Map 3, District 4 is all over the place, Under Map 3. District 3 spills over into the area south of the bypass (as it does now which makes no sense). At the dividing point, the bypass is depressed, and there is no way to cross it except at Providence Rd.-- thus dividing the district and not meeting the "contiguous" requirement.

Comments: Map 4 is not good due to the little strip above the bypass being an appendage not otherwise connected to UP5.

Comments: Of the 5 proposed redistricting maps for Upper Providence Township, Map 5 appears to best comply with the compact and

contiguous requirement of Section 903(b). Map 5 does not split districts at the point where the bypass is depressed. Accordingly, my vote/support is for Map 5.

First Name	Jo
Last Name	Butler
Email Address	JOANNBUTLERESQ@HOTMAIL.COM
Phone Number	6107612566

Email not displaying correctly? [View it in your browser.](#)

From: noreply@civicplus.com
To: [Don Vymazal](#); [info](#)
Subject: Online Form Submittal: Redistricting Public Comment Form
Date: Monday, October 24, 2022 7:00:33 PM

Redistricting Public Comment Form

In order to address the issue of representative equity, Council directed staff to approach Delaware County officials for support developing township district maps that more evenly distribute population. Guidance provided by council identified four key values for consideration during the development of possible district changes:

- Transparency
- Timeliness
- District Contiguity
- Population Standardization (variance between 0% - 5% of mean)

Listed below are 5 maps. Please view each map and provide public comment.

You may also view interactive maps [HERE: https://arcg.is/18mPCi](https://arcg.is/18mPCi)
Public comment may also be submitted via email to: info@upperprovidence.org

Comments: *Field not completed.*

Comments: Map 2 would be my first choice, because it has the best combination of population parity and district compactness.

Comments: *Field not completed.*

Comments: *Field not completed.*

Comments: *Field not completed.*

First Name: Todd

Last Name: McVoy

Email Address: toddmcvoy@hotmail.com

Phone Number: *Field not completed.*

From: [Joseph Solomon](#)
To: [info](#); [Don Vymazal](#)
Subject: New Redistricting Proposal
Date: Monday, October 24, 2022 10:23:18 PM

Hey Don,

As promised, I re-made my proposal to get the variance from mean under 5%. Had to move a few extra districts, but I think it solves a lot of the issues Kevin was pointing out while still barely moving any voters.

Block 2026, Block Group 2, Census Tract 4079.01, Delaware County, Pennsylvania	From 5 to 4
Block 2027, Block Group 2, Census Tract 4079.01, Delaware County, Pennsylvania	From 5 to 4
Block 2008, Block Group 2, Census Tract 4079.02, Delaware County, Pennsylvania	From 1 to 4
Block 1002, Block Group 1, Census Tract 4079.03, Delaware County, Pennsylvania	From 2 to 4
Block 1010, Block Group 1, Census Tract 4079.03, Delaware County, Pennsylvania	From 2 to 4
Block 1011, Block Group 1, Census Tract 4079.03, Delaware County, Pennsylvania	From 2 to 4
Block 1015, Block Group 1, Census Tract 4079.03, Delaware County, Pennsylvania	From 3 to 4

Moving those 7 blocks (1 of which is not populated, but moved only to keep the districts compact and contiguous), I move a total of 345 voters (less than any proposal), I get under 5% variance from mean in all districts (max of 3.7% in the 2nd district, which is only 80 people above mean - well within the MOE of the Census) and I achieve fairly good compactness scores in the 2nd, 4th and 5th (it's not possible as we know to get good compactness in the 1st or 3rd given the shape of the Township or Media Borough).

I'm pretty happy with this proposal - I hope it's seriously considered moving forward. Again, as a part of my official public feedback, there's absolutely no need to move 1500 voters.

Thanks, and happy to discuss in more detail if you want.
Joe

From: noreply@civicplus.com
To: [Don Vymazal](#); [info](#)
Subject: Online Form Submittal: Redistricting Public Comment Form
Date: Tuesday, October 25, 2022 10:31:08 AM

Redistricting Public Comment Form

In order to address the issue of representative equity, Council directed staff to approach Delaware County officials for support developing township district maps that more evenly distribute population. Guidance provided by council identified four key values for consideration during the development of possible district changes:

- Transparency
 - Timeliness
 - District Contiguity
 - Population Standardization (variance between 0% - 5% of mean)
-

Listed below are 5 maps. Please view each map and provide public comment.

You may also view interactive maps [HERE: https://arcg.is/18mPCi](https://arcg.is/18mPCi)

Public comment may also be submitted via email to: info@upperprovidence.org

Comments: After reviewing the information, the only map that makes sense is Map 3!
So NO TO THIS MAP

Comments: After reviewing the information, the only map that makes sense is Map 3!
So NO TO THIS MAP

Comments: YES TO THIS MAP ALONE!

Comments: After reviewing the information, the only map that makes sense is Map 3!
So NO TO THIS MAP

Comments: After reviewing the information, the only map that makes sense is Map 3!
So NO TO THIS MAP

First Name June

Last Name Morris

Email Address junegmorris@verizon.net

Phone Number *Field not completed.*

Email not displaying correctly? [View it in your browser.](#)

From: [Mary Clinton](#)
To: [info](#)
Subject: Comments on Redistricting
Date: Tuesday, October 25, 2022 1:23:50 PM

To: Township Manager

From: Mary and Bill Clinton - 440 Osage Lane

Re: Our preference for redrawn districts

We attended the Oct. 17, 2022 open house and found it very helpful. We have also studied the interactive maps on the website. We found the website information to give a good understanding of the process and the options.

We like either map 1 or map 5 because the districts appear to be as compact as practical, given the spread out composition of the housing north of the bypass. Maps 1 and 5, although they split along the by-pass, allow easy access via No. Orange St. to the rest of the proposed district 1 and 5. All districts are contiguous, and they meet the requirement of population deviating less than 5% from the mean.

We dislike map 3 because 1) district 4 seems to be all over the place and 2) district 3 spills over into the area south of the bypass (as it does now and makes no sense). At the dividing point, the bypass is depressed, there is no crossing it, except via Providence Rd, (a very busy road with traffic and not a safe, walkable route). This divides the district and does not meet the "contiguous" requirement.

Map 2 cuts my district (1) Council rep out of her district, which though I understand is not a consideration of the State, seems wrong given that she just spent a year getting elected to her first four-year term. She would be moved into district 5. Would you have to have new elections in districts 1 and 5 to determine who represents them on Council? It's impractical.

It's unfortunate that in all the maps except 3, Eastwood Rd (block 2002- 36 people) is separated from the rest of what appears to be an intact neighborhood, with similar housing. That community would be isolated from the rest of district 5, given that it would be contiguous only with a cemetery and an office park.

We've been studying map 5, with the concern about block 2002, that group of 36 people who object to moving out of district 4. We suggest you look at the following solution:

1. Adjust district 4: Move block 2001 (36 people) from district 5 to district 4. This would bring district 4 up to 2205

2. Adjust district 5: Move block 3010 (78 people) from district 3 to district 5. Adding those 78 people to 5 and taking the 36 from block 2001 leaves district 5 with 2112 (which is better because the way you have it now district 5 only has 2070)

3. Adjust District 3: losing section 3010 with 78 people still leaves it with a total of 2160 (this would move it closer to the mean).

4. The result would be:

1 - 2169

2 - 2206

3 - 2160 (instead of 2238)

4 - 2205. (instead of 2169)

5 - 2112. (instead of 2070)

This leaves a little piece of Deerfield Rd remaining in district 3. We predict that those residents would not complain, because they are already in 3. For those in that neighborhood who would be moved to district 5, a bonus would be that they would vote at the Middle School, which is closer to them than Rose Tree Elementary where they vote now.

Respectfully submitted,
Mary and Bill Clinton



Upper Providence Township Redistricting

Resident Comment Cards

Contact information (required):

Christina Olymkin

e-mail (optional)

Name

61 Eastwood Rd

Home address

Very 'confusing' 'get together' ?
I thought this was a meeting to discuss the
5 plans! ??, Such a disgrace in waste of my
time!



Upper Providence Township Redistricting

Resident Comment Cards

Contact information (required):

Robert Wuyt

rdwmai@aol.com

Name

e-mail (optional)

340 Highland Ave

Home address



Map Option 1

Resident Comment Card – Upper Providence Township Redistricting

No *unnecessarily disruptive*
Requires change in polling location



Map Option 2

Resident Comment Card -- Upper Providence Township Redistricting

no
Unnecessarily disruptive
Requires change in polling location



Map Option 3

Resident Comment Card - Upper Providence Township Redistricting

Very Best of the 5 options.

*Also would favor Resident Proposal
A, C and D.*

No change in polling location



Map Option 4

Resident Comment Card – Upper Providence Township Redistricting

No Unnecessarily disruptive
Requires change in polling location



Map Option 5

Resident Comment Card – Upper Providence Township Redistricting

No
unnecessarily disruptive
Requires change in polling locations



Upper Providence Township Redistricting

Resident Comment Cards

Contact information (required):

Janet Lis

Julia@art.cm

Name

e-mail (optional)

260 Whispering Brook Way

Home address



Map Option 3

Resident Comment Card -- Upper Providence Township Redistricting

least disruptive

- form bipartisan bipartisan

group to review & make recommendations to Council
after meeting complete



Upper Providence Township Redistricting

Resident Comment Cards

Contact information (required):

ED GLEMBOCKI EdGlembocki@verizon.net

Name

e-mail (optional)

29 PRESTON RD. MEDIA

Home address



Map Option 3

Resident Comment Card – Upper Providence Township Redistricting

LEAST CHANGE & LEAST DISRUPTIVE
TO POPULATION



Upper Providence Township Redistricting

Resident Comment Cards

Contact information (required):

Lauren Schermerman Schermerman@yahoo.com

e-mail (optional)

Name

321 N. Feathering Rd Media

Home address



Map Option 3

Resident Comment Card - Upper Providence Township Redistricting

This is the least disruptive
to pollers place. Many people
frustrated with the proposed
ability to vote.

I support option 3

Maurice J. Lawrence
Judge of Elections DP5



Upper Providence Township Redistricting

Resident Comment Cards

Contact information (required):

SHEILA FIROR *Sheila Firor*

Name e-mail (optional)

50 EASTWOODS RD MEDIA

Home address



Map Option 1

Resident Comment Card - Upper Providence Township Redistricting

MY CONCERN WITH THIS AND OPTIONS 2, 4 & 5
IS THEY MOVE TOO MANY HOMES. ALSO ALL
THESE PROPOSALS MOVE EASTWOOD & THE FIRST
BLOCK OF RIRK OUT OF THE 4th & INTO THE
5th. THOSE 37+ HOMES WOULD BE THE ONLY
HOMES ON THE SOUTH SIDE OF THE BYPASS
IN THE 5th DISTRICT. THE PURPOSE OF HAVING
MULTIPLE DISTRICTS IS SO OUR REPRESENTATIVES
CAN BEST REPRESENT THEIR NEIGHBORS.



Map Option 3

Resident Comment Card – Upper Providence Township Redistricting

THIS PROPOSAL IS THE LEAST DISRUPTIVE



Upper Providence Township Redistricting

Resident Comment Cards

Contact Information (required):

KEN VETTER VETTERKEN2736@G.MAIL.COM

Name

e-mail (optional)

57 EASTWOOD

Home address



Map Option 3

Resident Comment Card - Upper Providence Township Redistricting

I LIKE MAP 3 BECAUSE THE LEAST AMOUNT OF
HOUSES ARE BEING MOVED. I LIKE STAYING IN THE
4TH PRECINCT AND NOT BEING MOVED ACROSS RT. 1.



Upper Providence Township Redistricting

Resident Comment Cards

Contact information(required):

KATHY VETTER

Name

e-mail (optional)

57 EASTWOOD RD

Home address



Map Option 3
Resident Comment Card -- Upper Providence Township Redistricting

So I believe this plan makes the
most sense. This map is the least
disruptive for our community.
Moving a few homes make the most
sense.



Upper Providence Township Redistricting

--

Resident Comment Cards

Contact information(required):

Anthony Caruso

Name

e-mail (optional)

90 Diener Drive

Home address



Map Option 3

Resident Comment Card -- Upper Providence Township Redistricting

Only Fair Option

- three others even more fair were submitted but not used
- Minimize Voter Disruption
- Minimize Cost



Upper Providence Township Redistricting

Resident Comment Cards

Contact information (required):

John Daly

Name

e-mail (optional)

UPT Ed Beto Rd.

Home address

Where is Council / tonight !!
Should be here !!



Upper Providence Township Redistricting

Resident Comment Cards

Contact information (required):

ARCHIE SIZANNI ASIZANNI@COMCAST.NET

Name

e-mail (optional)

109 WATER MILL LANE

Home address



Map Option 1

Resident Comment Card - Upper Providence Township Redistricting

NOT FAVORZED

I FAVOR RESIDENT PROPOSAL D (DELTA)

• MAKE SENSE MOST SENSE.

• POPULATION OF DISTRICTS - IF REDISTRICTED

ARE WITH IN MARGIN OF (CENSUS DATA) ERROR

• LEAST DISRUPTING



Map Option 2

Resident Comment Card -- Upper Providence Township Redistricting

POOR OPTION



Map Option 3

Resident Comment Card – Upper Providence Township Redistricting

Four horizontal lines for writing a comment. The first line contains the handwritten text "FOR OPTION".

FOR OPTION



Map Option 4

Resident Comment Card – Upper Providence Township Redistricting

POOR OPTION



Map Option 5

Resident Comment Card – Upper Providence Township Redistricting

MAKES SENSE



Upper Providence Township Redistricting

--

Resident Comment Cards

Contact information (required):

John Carullo JCARULLO@COMCAST.NET

Name

e-mail (optional)

257 E ROSE TREE RD MEDIA

Home address

THIS "MEETING" WAS A CHAOTIC
WASTE OF MY TIME!
IT'S ALL GERRYMANDERING!



Upper Providence Township Redistricting

Resident Comment Cards

Contact information(required):

Mary Ann Clifford

Name

e-mail (optional)

449 S. Jackson St

Home address



Map Option 3

Resident Comment Card - Upper Providence Township Redistricting

Based on the compactness score,
and with the understanding
that no map is perfect, this option
seems the fairest.



Upper Providence Township Redistricting

Resident Comment Cards

Contact information (required):

Mark Ghatal MD markghatal@me.com

Name e-mail (optional)

104 Manson Drive

Home address



Map Option 1
Resident Comment Card – Upper Providence Township Redistricting

Gerry mandenby

Will ultimately trigger a lawsuit
which is a waste of our \$\$



Map Option 2
Resident Comment Card -- Upper Providence Township Redistricting

Gerry Mandelky



Map Option 3

Resident Comment Card – Upper Providence Township Redistricting

Best of all



Map Option 4

Resident Comment Card – Upper Providence Township Redistricting

Gerry Mendenhall



Map Option 5
Resident Comment Card – Upper Providence Township Redistricting

Gerry Manderley



Upper Providence Township Redistricting

Resident Comment Cards

Contact information (required):

Caron Cohn

caroncohnmd@gmail.com

~~caroncohnmd@gmail.com~~

Name

e-mail (optional)

280 Kirk Ln

Home address



Map Option 1-5
Resident Comment Card - Upper Providence Township Redistricting

I believe a premium should be put out on compactness but on the movement of constituents.

maintain peoples current polling locations as much as possible

Thank you

PS how can we propose sidewalks on trike lane & highland lane. It is dangerous to walk there